

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JAMES WILLIAMS,  
Plaintiff(s),

v.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE  
DEPARTMENT, et al.,  
Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:16-cv-03020-APG-NJK

**Order**

[Docket No. 21]

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for additional time to obtain the first name and/or P number for Defendant Sergeant Peterson. Docket No. 21. No response has been filed, and the time for doing so has expired. *See* Local Rule 7-2(b). For good cause shown, the motion is hereby **GRANTED** as follows.

Plaintiff will be allowed to serve up to three interrogatories<sup>1</sup> on Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department specifically in an effort to ascertain the first name and/or P number for Defendant Sergeant Peterson. *Cf. Smith v. Baker*, 2017 U.S. Dist. Lexis 109609, at \*2 (D. Nev. July 12, 2017). Moreover, given that other Defendants have already appeared and general discovery is underway, *see* Docket No. 25, the Court further **ORDERS** Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department to respond to the above interrogatories within 7 days of receipt, *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(b)(2) (court may order shortened time to respond).

---

<sup>1</sup> These interrogatories shall not be counted toward the numerical limit for the case more generally. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a)(1).

1 The Clerk's Office is **INSTRUCTED** to send Plaintiff an additional USM-285 form. The  
2 Clerk's Office is also **INSTRUCTED** to send a copy of the second amended complaint (ECF No.  
3 9-1) and a copy of this order to the U.S. Marshal for service on Defendant Sergeant Peterson. To  
4 enable the above discovery, Plaintiff shall have **45 days** within which to furnish to the U.S.  
5 Marshal the required USM-285 form. Within **20 days** after receiving from the U.S. Marshal a  
6 copy of the USM-285 form showing whether service has been accomplished, Plaintiff must file a  
7 notice with the Court identifying whether Defendant Sergeant Peterson was served. If not, and if  
8 Plaintiff wishes to have service again attempted on Defendant Sergeant Peterson, then a motion  
9 must be filed with the Court specifying a more detailed name and/or address of Defendant Sergeant  
10 Peterson, or whether some other manner of service should be attempted.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: March 19, 2019

13  
14   
15 \_\_\_\_\_  
16 Nancy J. Koppe  
17 United States Magistrate Judge  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28